

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
08/842,680	04/15/1997	AMIRAM STEINBERG	ACIM-102(US)	5493
7590 06/08/2006		EXAMINER		
KELLEY DRYE & WARREN LLP TWO STAMFORD PLAZA 281 TRESSER BOULEVARD STAMFORD, CT 06901-3229			ISABELLA, DAVID J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3738	
			DATE MAILED: 06/08/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		08/842,680	STEINBERG, AMIRAM				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
-		DAVID J. ISABELLA	3738				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status			•				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 M</u>	arch 2006					
	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
. /—	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-48 and 50-61</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>4-7,21-34,38-48 and 50-56</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠							
7)🖂	•						
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers	· .					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>4/15/1997</u> is/are: a) accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachmen	t(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
_	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:							

Art Unit: 3738

Status of the Claims

Claims 2,3,8-20,35,36,57-61 are currently pending for consideration.

Claims 1,37 and 49 have been cancelled.

Claims 4-7,21-34,38-48,50-56 are withdrawn from consideration as being directed to non-elected invention/species.

Drawings

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the features as set forth in each of claims 2,3,9,18 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New

Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Claim 9 now requires the implant further include non-resilient and nondeformable support element, however this limitation is not found in the original specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 35,2,8,10,11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by either of Day (4231120) or Weber (4447915).

Each of Day and Weber disclose an implant comprising an interface portion and a central portion wherein the interface portion is deformable upon insertion into a prepared bone canal. With respect to the newly added limitation of "oriented in longitudinal rows along the interface portion", this limitation does not preclude the rows of Day. The rows of Day are arranged and spaced along the longitudinal axis. The language is not specific with respect to the axis of the rows to be oriented parallel to the longitudinal axis of the implant.

Claim 2, see solid core of Day.

Claim 8, the plurality of fins of Day and Weber protrude from the interface portion of the implant.

Claim 10, the support elements in each of Day and Weber are dimensioned to provide a deformed interference fit in the bone.

Claim 11, the "not solid" limitation does not distinguish over the same as disclosed by Weber.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

⁽b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Application/Control Number: 08/842,680

Art Unit: 3738

Claim 57 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Fischer (3846846).

Claim 57 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fischer (3846846) in view of any of Day (4261120, Weber (4447915) and Stuhmer 4245359).

Fisher discloses a deformable bone implant comprising an interface portion (ball element 2) supported by a core and an adjustable cable operative configured to adjust the tension in the support core to adjust the stiffness and/or curvature.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 36, 18-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over either Day (4261120) or Weber (4447915) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Muller-Lierheim (4828563).

The coating of implant surfaces with growth factors to enhance biocompatibility is taught by Muller-Lieheim. To coat the implant of either of Day or Weber with growth factors for increase tissue compatibility would have been obvious from the teachings of Muller-Lierheim.

Application/Control Number: 08/842,680

Art Unit: 3738

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dumbleton et al (5181930) in view of Day (4231120).

Dumbleton et al discloses an implant comprising an interface and support core.

The support core comprises a plurality of rods. Day teaches the use of an implant having an interface formed with deformable elements for better fixation into the prepared bone. To form the interface of Dumbleton, et al with deformable elements for better fixation to the bone would have been obvious from the teachings of Day.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims, 12-17 and 58-61 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C112,2nd paragraphs set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 3738

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DAVID J. ISABELLA whose telephone number is 703-308-3060. The examiner can normally be reached on MONDAY-THURSDAY.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, CORRINE MCDERMOTT can be reached on 571-272-4754. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 08/842,680

Art Unit: 3738

Page 8

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DAVID JISABELLA Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3738

DJI May 24, 2006